



Contribution Rate Stability

Matt Smith
State Actuary

LEOFF Plan 2 Retirement Board
July 28, 2004

Issue

- Board identified “contribution rate stability” as one of the goals under the strategic plan

Members Impacted

- All LEOFF 2 active members would be impacted
 - 14,560 members as of September 30, 2003

Current Situation

- Actuarial funding chapter
 - Chapter 41.45 RCW
- Systematic actuarial funding of the state retirement systems

History

- Pension Funding Reform Act of 1989
 - 6 year rate setting cycle
- 2 year rate setting cycle established in 1994
- Asset smoothing method set in law in 2001
- Asset smoothing method revised in 2003
- Asset smoothing corridor added in 2004

Actuarial Terms

- **Actuarial cost method** – “the funding method”
- **Normal cost** – “first mortgage payment with annual gains and losses”
- **Entry age normal cost** – “first mortgage payment if all assumptions are realized from entry”
- **Amortization of UAAL** – “second mortgage payment”

Actuarial Terms (cont'd)

- **Asset valuation method** – “the asset smoothing technique”
- **Funding policy** – “plan sponsors policy for determining the periodic contribution or cost for a plan”

Policy Analysis

- Current funding policy and methods
- Rate stability - experience
- Comparative systems
- Corridor funding
- Federal law

Current LEOFF 2 Funding Policy

- Continue to fully fund LEOFF 2
- Establish predictable employer rates which will remain a relatively constant proportion of future state budgets

Current LEOFF 2 Methods

- Actuarial cost method
 - Aggregate method
- Normal cost
 - Under aggregate method
 - 50% paid by active members
 - 30% paid by employers
 - 20% paid by the state

Current Methods (cont'd)

- Amortization of UAAL
 - $UAAL = 0$ under aggregate method
- Asset valuation method
 - Up to 8-year smoothing period depending on size of annual gain or loss

Analysis of Methods

- Aggregate cost method
 - Satisfies goal of fully funding LEOFF 2
 - Does not allow a UAAL to develop
 - Can produce volatile contribution rates without effective asset smoothing

Analysis of Methods (cont'd)

- **Asset valuation method**
 - Addresses volatility of contribution rates under the aggregate method
 - Larger the gain or loss the longer the smoothing period
- **Asset smoothing corridor**
 - Make sure you don't smooth too much
 - Reality check

Rate Stability - Experience

- Current policies and methods independently reasonable
- End result, however, has produced volatile contribution rates
- Why?
 - Short-term asset volatility
 - Overemphasis on short-term actuarial results
 - Interest rate change and former asset smoothing method

Comparative Systems - SCPP

- See pages 7-8 of full report
- Most establish employer contribution rates from the results of an actuarial valuation
- 4 systems have employer rates set in statute

Corridor Funding

- Two types
- Normal cost corridor
 - Rates contained within a corridor around the plan's normal cost
- Funded ratio corridor
 - Rates fixed unless plan's funded ratio falls outside corridor

Federal Law

- Section 412 of IRC
- Minimum funding rules for qualified private-sector plans
- Short-term focus
- Not a good model for rate stability
- Government plans are exempted from these rules

Options

- Minimum contribution rates
- Maximum rates of change
- Statutorily fixed contribution rates
- Corridor funding

Analysis of Options

- Set appropriate balance among several objectives
 - Rate stability
 - Rate adequacy
 - Level of cost sharing

Analysis of Options (cont'd)

- **Minimum rates**
 - Adequate, but not as stable and predictable as fixed rates
- **Fixed rates**
 - Stable, but may be inadequate in the future
- **Corridor funding**
 - Blend of minimum and fixed rate approaches
 - Funding may drop below actuarially required levels

Recommendation (cont'd)

- Establish a minimum contribution rate under the entry age method once current rates exceed entry-age rates
 - Minimum rate increased for future benefit enhancements once effective
 - Retain employee, employer and state cost sharing relationship

Recommendation (cont'd)

- Strikes the appropriate balance between rate stability and adequacy
 - Rate adequacy with aggregate method
 - Rate stability with entry age rate as a minimum